

Annual meeting - 10<sup>th</sup> June 1907.

The annual meeting of the county council, was held in the council chamber, courthouse, Wexford; on 10<sup>th</sup> June 1907.

Present :- Sir J. H. G. Esmonde Bart., M.P. and subsequently Mr. M. A. Ennis (vice-chairman) presided.

Other members :- Messrs M. Browne, John Bolger, J. Bolger, E. Hore, James Codd, C. H. Peacocke, John J. Kehoe, D. Dempsey, John Cummins, James Donohoe, Alexander Kinsella, N. C. Murphy, John Sinnott, J. A. Doyle, D. Kavanagh, Mark Codd, Michael Hickey, and Matthew Doyle.

The Secretary, Mr. R. W. Elgee, Solicitor, and the County Surveyor, were in attendance.

Confirmation of minutes

The minutes of last meeting were read and confirmed.

Representatives of Rural District Councils.

The following who had been appointed representatives of the Rural District Councils on the county council subscribed the usual declaration of office before the opening of the business of the meeting :- Shaddens Bolger (Enniscorthy District Council) John Sinnott, (Gorey District Council) Michael Hickey, (New Ross District Council) and N. C. Murphy (Wexford District Council).

Election of Chairman

On the motion of Mr. Dempsey, seconded by Mr. J. Bolger "Sir Thomas H. G. Esmonde Bart., M.P. was re-elected chairman of the county council for the ensuing year, and subscribed the usual declaration of office."

The chairman returned thanks for his election.

Election of vice-chairman

On the motion of Mr. J. Bolger, seconded by Mr. Peacocke "Mr. M. A. Ennis, was re-elected vice-chairman, for the ensuing year, and subscribed the usual declaration of office."

Mr. Ennis, returned thanks for his election.



Committees

The Chairman moved the election of the following as the Finance Committee; and Diseases of animals committee, for the ensuing year:— Sir J. H. G. Esmonde, Bart, Messrs Edmund Hore, J. E. Mayler, Michael Broune, James Donohoe, John Bolger, John Cummins, Alexander Kinsella, Thomas Asple, C. H. Peacocke, Matthew Doyle, John J. Kehoe, A. C. Murphy, M. A. Ennis, and James Codd.

Proposal Committee

On the motion of the Chairman, the Proposal Committee, was made a committee of the "whole house."

St Aidan's Burial Ground

"The Local Government Board forwarded sealed Order (No 15,450) closing the burial ground of St Aidan's, adjoining the Protestant Cathedral of Ferns, as it was in a crowded state. A number of persons, whose names were set out in the schedule of the exemptions, had reserved to them, the right of burial."

The late Mr Martin Pierce

Proposed by Mr J. Bolger, seconded by Mr M. A. Ennis and passed:— "That our Secretary be instructed to convey to Mr John Pierce, and the Misses Pierce, the sympathy of the members of this Council, in the sorrow which has fallen upon them in the death of their brother the late Mr Martin Pierce."

Lara Hill Quarry

The Committee appointed to draft advertisements for the position of Foreman of Lara Hill Quarry, met in Gorey on the 11<sup>th</sup> May 1907.

Mr M. A. Ennis (Vice-Chairman, Co. Council) presided  
Other members present:— Messrs John Sinnott, James Donohoe, and A. Kinsella.

The County Surveyor was also in attendance.

Draft advertisements with reference to the appointment of Foreman for Lara Hill Quarry at a salary of £1 per week, and for the hiring of power to drive stone breaking machinery, were agreed to.

It was decided that these advertisements be inserted



in "The Echo" as the appointment was in the far north of the county, and applicants for the position of foreman might possibly not see such an advertisement in "The County Wexford Independent".

"The above report was agreed to on the motion of the chairman."

### Foreman. Tara Hill Quarry.

Applications for the position of Foreman of Tara Hill Quarry at £1. per week were read from the following:-

John Lannen, Camblin, New Ross.  
 Bernard Connors, Moneygarrow, Castletown  
 John Higgins, Upper Island, Braanford  
 John Naughton, Lincurragh, Inch.  
 Thomas Redmond, Sarahill, Gorey  
 John Davis, Moneygarrow, Castletown  
 Bryan Maguire, Cronellard, Sarahill, Gorey.

A poll was taken with the following result.

For Lannen:- Messrs Donohoe, Browne, Hore, Ennis, Peacocke, James Codd, J. A. Doyle, Cummins, M. Codd, Hickey.

For Higgins:- Messrs J. Bolger, Murphy, Kehoe John Sinnott Dempsey, Matthew Doyle, Kavanagh, and J. Bolger. -- 8.

For Maguire:- Messrs Kinsella and the chairman --- 2.

The latter having dropped out, a vote was taken as between Lannen, and Higgins, with the following result.

For Lannen:- Messrs Donohoe, Browne, Hore, Ennis, Peacocke, J. Codd, J. A. Doyle, Cummins, M. Codd, M. Hickey and the chairman. -- 11.

For Higgins:- Messrs Kinsella, J. Bolger, Murphy, Kehoe, John Sinnott, Dempsey, M. Doyle, Kavanagh, & J. Bolger. -- 9.

"The chairman declared Lannen elected, and it was decided that he should begin duty on 1st July."

The question of security was, on the motion of the chairman left to the County Surveyor, and the Secretary.

The successful candidate was recommended by John Whitty, road contractor, Camblin, who stated that Lannen had been in charge of his quarry, and stone breaking machinery at Ballykelly, New Ross, for the past nine years, during which time he had given every satisfaction. He could strongly recommend Lannen for any position in which honesty and sobriety were required.



Testimonials as to character etc., on behalf of Lannen were read from Canon Furlong, Bushinstown, Rev D. Horne C.C. Terrerath, and Mr Andrew Bullen, Builder, New Ross.

Power to drive machinery at Tara Hill.

S. B. Wildon, Linginstown, Comhaggard; tendered to supply a 6 H.P. Traction Engine to drive machinery at Tara Hill, and to supply coal, oil, etc., at £1: 5/- per working day of nine hours; and a 7 H.P. engine for £1: 7: 6, per day.

James Gahan, Quarry, Ferns; tendered at £1: 7/- per day but did not specify H.P.

Steady Bros Moneycross, Gorey tendered at £1: 4/-, but did not specify H.P.

Alfred Haughton, Rockspring, Ferns; tendered at £1: 4: 6, for a 7 H.P. engine, per day.

"On the motion of the chairman, Mr Haughton's tender was accepted."

— Workmen's Compensation Act. —

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was agreed to, on the motion of the chairman.

"That the County Council advertise for a general policy of insurance to be entered into under the new Workmen's Compensation Act, to cover the persons named in the list submitted by Mr Elger, Solicitor.

The following is the list referred to:-

- |                       |                          |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Patrick Donohoe    | accountant.              |
| 2. Claude H. Richards | Assistant                |
| 3. W. H. Jones        | Assistant Surveyors.     |
| 4. John Kehoe         |                          |
| 5. Robert Brennan     |                          |
| 6. Thomas J. Ryan     |                          |
| 7. Thomas Paddle      |                          |
| 8. Richard Malone     | Veterinary Inspectors.   |
| 9. W. J. Doyle        |                          |
| 10. Arthur Dobbyn     |                          |
| 11. George Newson     |                          |
| 12. James Malone      |                          |
| 13. F. W. Taylor      | Harbour Master, Kilmore. |
| 14. Edward O'Flaherty |                          |
| 15. Patrick Stapleton |                          |
| 16. Mr Richards       | Courthouse Keeper        |







The pier thus shortened will cost at the prices under which the contractor is working less than the total contract price by £100.

The Department propose to utilise this sum in carrying out the small works which have been asked for by the fishermen, viz:-

- (1)- To build a wall across the angle between the old and new work forming a new quay face, covering the ridge of rocks, which now forms a danger to boats;
- (2)- raising the parapet at the same place; and
- (3)- concreting the surface of the old pier to form a net platform near its end.

To do what the fishermen ask will cost at contract prices about £105, but the contractor is willing to include it in the adjusted contract.

A certain number of concrete blocks for the pier will be left over from the shortened work, and these it is proposed to use to form a shoring course at the back of the work already completed along which a dangerous tendency to scour has been observed.

As regards the unsatisfactory result of the surveys it seems probable that they were correct when made, but that the whole seabed was swept clear by the great storm of 1905, down to bed rock, and afterwards filled in with sand. Borings were actually taken precisely on the site of the works."

In reference to Courtown Harbour the following letter No. 1699/07. J. B. was read from the Department:-

"The Department have had again under consideration the case of Courtown Harbour, and they would be glad if you would be good enough to submit the following observations on the subject to the Wexford County Council at their next meeting.

The Department desire to suggest, for the consideration of the Council, that the absence of any definite scheme for the improvement of the harbour renders attempts at co-operation between all persons interested difficult and unsatisfactory.

The assistance given on more than one occasion by the Department could, in the circumstances in which application was made to them, afford only temporary



relief, and expenditure incurred at Courtown under such conditions cannot be productive of permanent benefit. The Department would suggest that some definite schemes should be put forward for the permanent improvement of the harbour, and for this purpose they believe that it would be useful if a conference could be arranged between persons locally interested, so that it might be made clear what improvements are considered to be essential and what amount of local aid would be forthcoming to carry them out. If considered desirable the Department would be prepared to assist at such a conference.

It is, however, to be borne in mind that almost all the Department's funds are hypothecated, and, therefore, it is hoped that your Council will not interpret this letter as conveying an intimation that the Department are at present prepared to give substantial financial aid in the execution of any scheme that may be formulated. It is rather the object of the Department to avoid the expenditure of money on steps in the nature of temporary expedients, such, for instance, as the dredging of the entrance to the Harbour."

On the motion of the Chairman, the following resolution was adopted:-

"That Messrs John Bolger, J. Cummins, R. B. Murphy, M. A. Ennis, A. Kinsella, and Sir Thomas Esmonde, be appointed a committee with Mr. Webster, and Mr. Oliver, Engineer to the Department of Agriculture, &c. to meet at Courtown Harbour on Wednesday 12<sup>th</sup> inst., at 11.30. A.M., to consider the advisability of arranging for a conference in connection with Courtown Harbour, and then proceeding to Kilduff to consider the proposal of the Department to shorten the proposed pier at Kilduff by 32 feet."

The Secretary was instructed to attend.

#### Crane at Arthurstown Pier.

Mr. Webster, County Surveyor mentioned that he had received an application from Mr. R. E. Mellon, Builder 62 & 63 Brighton Square, Rathgar, Dublin; for permission to erect a temporary crane at Arthurstown Pier, in



connection with building operations for Lord Templemore at Dunbrody. The crane would be in use for about ten months."

"On the motion of the chairman the request of Mr. Mellon was acceded to."

— Dredging of Harbours of Arthurstown & Duncannon. —

A number of letters were read from the Board of Works, relative to the hire of their dredger "Sisyphus."

"In a letter of the 14<sup>th</sup> May (No. 5060) the Board in reply to a resolution of the County Council, pointed out that the dredging at these Harbours had been carried out under the instruction and supervision of the County Council officers. The dredger was under an engagement to proceed to the north of Ireland as soon as she had finished her work at Passage East, and for that reason the Board were not in a position to hire her to Wexford Co. Council at present."

"Under date 27<sup>th</sup> May (No. 5436) the Board of Works wrote, that the dredger would be required for a week or ten days longer at Passage East. She could then be placed at the disposal of the Wexford County Council, after an agreement embodying the terms as to hiring and insurance similar to those previously agreed to, had been executed by the Council."

"Under date 30<sup>th</sup> May 1907. (Letter No. 5436) the Board of Works wrote, that the dredger would be required for a week or ten days longer at Passage East. She could then be placed at the disposal of the Wexford County Council, after an agreement embodying the terms as to hiring and insurance similar to those previously agreed to, had been executed by the Council."

"Under date 30<sup>th</sup> May 1907. (Letter No. 5436) the Board of Works forwarded agreement for hire of dredger for execution by the County Council, also form of resolution as to affixing the seal of the Council."

The agreement provided for the hire of dredger for one month.

Under date 30<sup>th</sup> May 1907, the following letter was read from Mr. J. Allingham, Secretary of the Waterford Harbour Board :-

"Capt Hugh Sadon, master of the schooner "Kate" of



Barrow, which recently discharged at Arthurstown, writes that his vessel strained while in berth there, and that he has notified his underwriters with a view to claiming damages.

On enquiry I am informed that your Co. Council caused some dredging to be done at Arthurstown (as also at Duncannon) and that the damage to the "Kati" if any - was due to the berth being only partially dredged and not properly finished.

My Commissioners direct me to point out that your Council acted quite ultra vires in having any dredging done at either of these places, as only the structure of the piers is vested in your Council, and my Board are the proper and sole authority to carry out such dredging or similar work as they may deem desirable. I have accordingly written to Capt Laddon repudiating all liability on the part of my Board, and I am directed to notify that they will hold your Council responsible to them for any liability that may arise by reason of the unauthorised dredging above referred to."

The Secretary said he had furnished a copy of this letter to Mr. R. W. Elgee, Solicitor to the Council, and asking him to deal with the matter.

Mr. Elgee wrote under date 31<sup>st</sup> May, that he was writing the Secretary to the Waterford Harbour Commissioners, relative to communication from him.

Having regard to the correspondence which had passed between the Secretary of the County Council, and Mr. Allingham from time to time on the subject of Arthurstown and other Harbours, it seemed rather a strange kind of letter for Mr. Allingham to write."

Mr. Elgee said he received the following letter from Mr. J. Allingham, Secretary Waterford Harbour Commissioners.

Replying to your letter of the 3<sup>rd</sup> instant, my Commissioners regret that you do not understand the position in regard to the piers at Arthurstown and Duncannon, which I endeavoured to explain in my letter to Mr. Fitzgerald.

The entire water space below H. W. mark, inside Hook Tower on the eastern side, and Swiny Head on the western side, forms the port of Waterford (with a dividing line at the mouth of the Barrow marking the respective limits of the ports of Waterford and of New



Ross) for which my commissioners are the sole administrative authority. They are bound to collect rates, dues, and charges from all vessels plying to or from the said port, and to expend the monies thus collected on the port's maintenance and improvement, as they may deem desirable.

It is not correct to say, as stated in your letter, that the commissioners repudiate any liability in regard to Arthurstown, Duncannon, or Ballyhack, but they do not consider that it is part of their duty to provide accommodation for fishermen, which was what your Council asked them to do. They are not disposed to dredge either at Arthurstown or Duncannon; - first:- because these places are already reasonably efficient for the small volume of traffic frequenting them; and secondly:- because the dredging to be effective would have to be constantly repeated, both places being peculiarly liable to silt.

If however, your Council desire to have Arthurstown and Duncannon dredged, and are prepared to pay the cost of same, the commissioners will have the work properly executed, which unfortunately does not seem to have been the case with the recent dredging at Arthurstown.

They only learned when the master of the schooner "Kati" complained of having strained his vessel in her discharging berth at Arthurstown that your Co. Council had executed some dredging there, and as the straining (if any) was caused by some unevenness of the berth resulting from partial dredging, they have no other recourse than to notify your Council that the responsibility in the matter rests with them. They trust that with this explanation you will understand the matter, and that you will see that the interference of unauthorised bodies (even with the best intentions) in matters outside their jurisdiction would only lead to confusion and possible regrettable litigation."

(Previous to the reading of these letters Sir J. Esmonde left the chair, which was taken by Mr. Ennis)

On the motion of the Chairman, the following resolution was adopted:-

"That Mr. Elgee be directed to lay the correspondence which has taken place on behalf of the Wexford Co.



council, and the Secretary of the Waterford Harbour Commissioners with a full statement of affairs, before counsel, with a view of ascertaining the position of Wexford County Council as to Harbours of Arthurstown and Duncannon, and their legal rights in respect to same."

"On the motion of the Chairman the following resolution was adopted:- "That our Secretary inform the Secretary of the Board of works, this Co. Council, in view of correspondence with the Secretary of the Waterford Harbour Commissioners, must defer taking action as to employment of the dredger "Sisyphus" until Mr. Elgee, lays before us the opinion of counsel as regards the position of this Council in relation to Duncannon and Arthurstown Harbours."

#### Holidays

On the motion of the Chairman, seconded by Mr. Murphy, the following resolution was adopted:-  
"That our Secretary be granted three weeks' holidays to be taken as convenient, and that the Secretary make the necessary arrangements for the holidays of the clerical staff."

#### Explosive Licence

Application was received from Mr. Alfred D. Delap Resident Engineer, Fishguard & Rosslare Railways & Harbours Co., for licence for powder magazine, which was licensed while the construction of Railway and pier works were in progress.

In reply to the Secretary of the Co. Council - Mr. P. B. Power, County Inspector, R. I. C., wrote - that the police had no objection to the application being granted.

"On the motion of Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mr. Kehoe, it was decided that should be issued to Mr. Delap on behalf of the Great Southern & Western Railway Co."

#### Food & Drugs Acts

Mr. O'N. J. Kelly, District Inspector, R. I. C., Wexford wrote asking that Constable R. White be appointed Inspector of Food & Drugs for the Gorey Petty Sessions District.



"On the motion of Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mr. Kehoe, it was decided that Constable White be appointed *Ex-officio* Inspector for Gorey District."

— Compulsory Sheep Dipping Order. —

Under date 11<sup>th</sup> May (letter No 2539 V.B.) the Department of Agriculture, etc., wrote approving of the arrangement made by the County Council appointing the following to act as inspectors under the Sheep Dipping (Ireland) Order for eleven weeks, with remuneration at the rate of £1. per week, each -

Randal McDonald, Linnahask Oylegate.  
Aidan Leary, Ballyhought, Blackwater  
William Stafford, Ballyboker, Sombaggard  
James J. Shortall, Knockroe, New Ross.

marked "Read."

— Irish Co. Councils General Council. —

The following circular letter from Mr. A. Keogh Nolan Secretary Irish Co. Councils General Council, was submitted to the meeting:-

I beg to inform you that it is contemplated to call a special meeting of the General Council, for a date early in June, to consider the report of the Committee appointed by the Council on the 18<sup>th</sup> ult., in relation to the effects on local taxation of the loss on flotation of Land Stock and other charges in connection therewith arising under financial provisions of the Land Act of 1903.

As the matter is of vital importance it is earnestly hoped that all delegates to the Central Council will be in attendance. It would be very advisable, should your Council meet in the meantime, that it would discuss this matter fully.

The special meeting of the Central Council will also have under consideration a report of the Conference on the subject of the prevention of Tuberculosis between the Local Government Board and representatives of the General Council. It would be well if this subject could also be discussed by your Council.

"Mr. Ennis proposed the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Hore: We note with satisfaction that a meeting of the Irish County Councils General Council has been summoned for Friday next to consider the vitally



important question of Land Act Finance. We request our representatives on the General Council to attend the meeting and to urge the Council to endeavour by every legitimate means in their power to induce the Government to guarantee to relieve Ireland from all liability for losses on flotation of Land Stock and Banking and Stockbroking expenses in connection therewith."

Mr. Browne moved:- "That the following words be added to the resolution, - and we point out to the Government that be effecting the economies that were promised when the Land Act of 1903 was introduced (particularly the great economy that could be effected by the reasonable reduction of the police) the Development Grant can be sufficiently strengthened to allow the work of Land Purchase to proceed." Mr. M. Doyle seconded.

On a show of hands there voted for the amendment:- Messrs Browne, and M. Doyle. -- 2.

and for the resolution:- Messrs Murphy, Hore, Kehoe, Board, Peacocke, and the Chairman. -- 6.

The other members of the Council had left.

The Chairman declared the resolution carried.

The remainder of the business was adjourned to meeting to be held on 26<sup>th</sup> June.

M. Connors

26. 6. 1907



Meeting - 26<sup>th</sup> June 1907.

A meeting of the County Council, was held in the Council Chamber, Courthouse, Wexford; on 26<sup>th</sup> June 1907.

Present:- Mr M. A. Ennis, Vice-Chairman (presiding)  
Other members present:- Messrs John Cummins, N. C. Murphy, E. Hore, J. J. Kehoe, M. Browne, C. H. Peacocke, M. Hickey, James Donohoe, James Codd, J. Asple, James Sinnott, and M. Doyle.

The Secretary, Mr R. W. Elgee, Solicitor, and the Co. Surveyor, were in attendance.

Confirmation of minutes

The minutes of last meeting were read and confirmed.

Revision of valuations

Proposed by Mr Peacocke, seconded by Mr Kehoe, and passed:- "That we agree to revisions of valuation being carried out in the lists submitted to us. With reference to No. 1725 ( Newtownbarry C. D. Townland of Clohamon. O.S. 9.- 86. Henry Lewis, Occupier, Walter Sweetman, Immo Lessor, valuation £77: 5/-) in view of the fact that an attempt is being made to re-open this factory which would mean the re-establishment of an industry in the locality and the payment of rates to the County Council; We request the Commissioners of valuation to put as low a valuation as he possibly can on the premises."

Workmen's Compensation Act 1906.

Tenders for a policy of insurance to cover policy of insurance for £3,300 in connection with the insurance of Officers of the County Council under the Workmen's Compensation Act 1906 were received as follows:-

Patriotic £10: 10: 9, Sun £10: 10: 9; North British & Mercantile £12: 3: 3, General Accident £12: 7: 6, and £13: 15/- (from date of accident) Atlas £19, Royal £15: 19: 6, and £17: 3: 6, (from date of accident) Law Accident £18: 11: 10, and £19: 19: 10; (from date of accident) Rock £11: 7: 1, Yorkshire £12: 11: 6, and £13: 10: 4



(from date of accident), Ocean £18 : 9/-.

On the motion of Mr. Peacocke, seconded by Mr. Kehoe the following resolution was adopted:-

"That we accept the tender of the Patriotic Assurance Co. at £10 : 10 : 9, for a policy under the Workmen's Compensation Act 1906, of £3,300, provided that the policy be effected through Mr. N. Kehoe, local agent, and on condition that the policy is first submitted to the Secretary of the Council, for the approval of the Council's solicitor."

### Sara Hill Quarry.

On the motion of the chairman the following resolution was adopted:-

"That John Lannon, foreman Sara Hill Quarry, enter into personal security for £100, and that our solicitor be instructed to prepare bond. That we approve of the names of Mr. John Whitty Camblin, and Mr. Philip Kelly, Camblin, as sureties."

"On the motion of the chairman, the following resolution was adopted:- "That the sum of £50 be advanced from the subsidiary ac to the foreman of Sara Hill Quarry, when he has signed his bond, to enable him to pay wages, etc., for a month. That his accounts be examined by the Finance Committee every month, and payments made on the certificate of the County Surveyor."

"On the motion of the chairman the following resolution was adopted:- "That in addition to foreman the following be employed to work Sara Hill Quarry, - 4 labourers to look after loading, unloading, and feeding stone-breaking machine at 14/- per week; 4 quarry men at 17/- per week; and 2 horses and carts at 30/- per week."

"On the motion of Mr. Peacocke, seconded by Mr. Kehoe the following resolution was adopted:- "That the Finance Committee be empowered to direct the foreman at Sara Hill Quarry to employ any extra labour that he may find necessary, and that the Finance Committee report to the next meeting of the Council any steps which they have taken on this resolution."

"On the motion of the chairman the following resolution was adopted:- "That stones be sold to road contractors



from Tara Hill Quarry at 3/- per cubic yard for a period of six months from the opening of the quarry. and that the question of revising the price be considered by the Council after the expiration of six months."

On the motion of Mr. Donohoe, seconded by Mr. Kehoe, the following resolution was adopted: "That we approve of the system of accounts for the working of Tara Hill Quarry as submitted by the County Surveyor, and County Secretary."

On the motion of Mr. Donohoe, seconded by Mr. Kehoe, the following resolution was adopted: "That the Co. Surveyor be directed, after receiving letters from contractors that they desire to procure stones from Tara Hill Quarry, and are satisfied that the cost should be deducted from their payments, to issue orders to the foreman to supply stones to these contractors and that the County Surveyor certify on Form 22. for these deductions to be paid the quarry fund."

#### Gorey School Attendance Committee

"Under date 4<sup>th</sup> June '07 the Secretary to the Commissioners of National Education wrote that the period of service of Gorey Rural District School Attendance Committee would expire on 31<sup>st</sup> August, and asking the County Council as the local authority to appoint five representatives on the Committee; particulars to be forwarded to them before 31<sup>st</sup> July."

Mr. John Sinnott, County Councillor, wrote asking that the appointments in question should not be made for the present, as a notice of motion would be considered at Gorey Rural District Council on 13<sup>th</sup> July to discontinue the act, owing to the inadequacy of the law to enable it to effect its object.

On the motion of Mr. Browne, seconded by Mr. Kehoe, the following resolution was adopted: "That the question of the appointment of representatives of the Co. Council on the Gorey Rural District School Attendance Committee be postponed, pending the decision of the Gorey Rural District Council on notice of motion to discontinue the act. That the Clerks of the Enniscorthy and New Ross Rural District Councils be requested, prior to the period of termination of office of School Attendance Committees, in their districts, to take the opinion of the District



councils, as to whether the act should continue to be enforced."

— Dogs Act. —

A communication was received from the Registrar of Petty Sessions Clerks Office, that £487:4:8, had been paid over to the Treasurer of the Wexford Co. Council, as the proportion of surplus moneys arising from the sale of licences under the dogs Regulations (Ireland) Act 1865, due to Wexford County Council."

— Enniscorthy R. D. School Attendance Committee. —

The Secretary to the Commissioners of National Education wrote under date 6<sup>th</sup> June, that they had appointed the following as their representatives on the Enniscorthy Rural District School Attendance Committee:

Rev A. Forrestal, C. C., Blackwater.

Rev Canon Gibson, M. A., Ferns.

Rev W. R. B. Fry, M. A. Newtownbarry

Very Rev Canon Sheil, P. P., Brel, Enniscorthy.

Rev H. Murnagh, C. C., Marshalstown.

— New Ross R. D. School Attendance Committee. —

Mr. P. A. Pope, Clerk New Ross District Council wrote, that Mr. Edward Nevill had resigned as a member of New Ross School Attendance Committee, and the District Council recommended that Mr. J. J. O'Byrne, Bushinstown, Ballinaboola, should be appointed in his place.

"On the motion of the Chairman the name of Mr. John J. O'Byrne, Bushinstown, Ballinaboola, was added as representative of the County Council, on New Ross Rural District School Attendance Committee, vice Mr. E. Nevill, Bawnmore, New Ross, resigned."

— The late Mr. Martin Pierce —

The following letter was read from Mr. John Pierce St. Magdalen's Wexford; under date 20<sup>th</sup> June 17 acknowledging vote of condolence passed with him and his sisters on the demise of his brother, the late Mr. Martin Pierce, head of the firm of Messrs Philip Pierce & Co., Millroad Iron Works, Wexford.

"I beg to thank your County Council for their kind vote of sympathy on the occasion of the death of my



brother.

my sisters desire me also to express their grateful acknowledgements, which please kindly ask them to accept."

"On the motion of the chairman, the foregoing letter was ordered to be inserted on the minutes."

— Courtown & Boulduff Harbours. —

The committee appointed at last county council meeting to visit Courtown and Boulduff Harbours presented a long report.

As regards Courtown Harbour, the committee made the following recommendations:-

"The committee desire to point out that any extension of the present piers would involve such a large expenditure as the county council could not possibly undertake in the absence of a marine works act.

They made suggestions with regard to improved methods of dredging the harbour and of sluicing, which the fishermen are to consider and communicate their views upon, to the county council.

In reference to Boulduff Pier they recommended:-

"In view of the very strong feeling in favour of the extension of the pier, a feeling in which your committee thoroughly concur, we are of opinion that the pier should be extended as far as possible and in view of the extremely satisfactory nature of the work which has been accomplished, and for the purpose of deriving the utmost benefit possible from the money which has been already expended, we are of opinion that the county council might well contribute a further small sum towards Boulduff, provided the Department of Agriculture & Technical Instruction also make a contribution."

We are confident from what we have seen that Boulduff will be a success."

Under date 16<sup>th</sup> June 1907, the following resolutions were received from the Fishermen of Courtown:-

"That while thankfully accepting a dredger for keeping the bar at Courtown clear, or any other scheme which the county council may be pleased to adopt, we are of opinion that there are so many difficulties in the



way of successfully working the dredger that we could not undertake the responsibility of working it ourselves.

We would like to know if we would be liable for incidental expenses of working same - such as coal, oil, etc., There is also the difficulty of skilled labour, which with certainty, could not be relied on when required.

The fishermen would like to receive some further suggestions from the County Council, as to whether the local men who might be engaged on the dredger would be paid by the County Council for their labour as it might possibly happen that they would be engaged on other labour at the time.

They are also afraid of damaging the Council's property, be want of skill etc.

They are quite prepared to give their services freely at all times for the erection, shifting, or taking down of such an engine."

M<sup>r</sup>. Ennis read the following letter under date 24<sup>th</sup> June '07 from Sir Thomas Esmonde, Bart., M. P. Chairman of the Council:-

"I am still confined to the house with a very troublesome attack of something like influenza - the result of Koulduff expedition - and I may not be able to attend the Co Council meeting on Wednesday in consequence. This is therefore to give you my views on the questions of Courtown, Koulduff, etc.

With regard to Courtown:-

The stationary dredger will cost the County £1,000; supposing that the Department agrees to pay the other half.

It will cost the County in addition from £50 to £100 a year to maintain and work it.

I do not consider that expenditure justifiable.

I go a step further and consider the question of a dredging vessel for the use of the County.

This dredging vessel will cost £3,000 and in addition to that the County would have to pay £1,000 a year at least for its upkeep and maintenance.

This with interest on the purchase money would mean £1,150 per annum, besides our liability under the Act of last year for insurance etc., of our employees.



the question therefore is; could we not get better value for our money under another plan?

Of course all our troubles would be ended if the Government would return us some of our own money in the shape of a marine works act. But as the Government will do nothing for us in this direction, we must look to ourselves if our fisheries are not to be allowed to die out.

We know that it will cost £10,000 to make a permanent job at Courtown - and £5,000 to make a permanent job at Blackwater.

We should find out by careful calculation what it will cost to permanently improve Fethard, Carne, Slade, and any other places that may require improving - and when we have ascertained the total amount required - we should consider the question of raising a county loan by the issue of county stock.

I daresay we could raise £60,000 for £2,000 a year or for £2,500 a year - with a sinking fund - if the subject was taken up by the General Council of the Irish county councils; and the matter was started on the basis of co-operation between the various co. councils.

This is the only plan I can suggest for dealing with the difficulty. As the Government will not help us - we must help ourselves, if anything is ever to be done.

With regard to Coulduff:-

We have made such an excellent beginning at that place, and the case there is so promising, that it would be a great pity if we did not finish it as far as we reasonably can.

I would therefore strongly urge the Council to advance another £150 towards the completion of the work, according to the altered plan suggested to us the other day, and as to which the Coulduff fishermen are so anxious.

I think I am safe in promising the co-operation of the Department in the matter.

Finally I wish to draw the attention of the county council to the Government's proposed Navigation Works (Ireland) Bill.

This Bill, which is all we can get from them by way of a marine works Bill, merely enables us to tax



ourselves, under certain restrictions, for the execution of marine works.

It provides no money. It does not even help us towards the issue of County Stock. I consider it quite worthless.

But clause 1, the main clause of the Bill, contains a provision to which I am sure the Co. Council of Wexford will strongly object, viz:- a provision that all works under this Bill must be carried out by the Board of Works, and subject to their supervision.

This clause in my opinion will block the operations of the Congested Districts Board, and of the Department of Agriculture quite apart from the other considerations I have mentioned; and I would therefore be glad to have the views of the Co. Council upon it.

The Bill is down for 2<sup>nd</sup> reading, and if any action is to be taken upon it in Parliament there is no time to lose."

In connection with Courtown Harbour the following resolution was adopted on the motion of the Chairman:-

"That pending the discussion of the Navigation Works (Ireland) Bill in the House of Commons the report of the Committee re Courtown Harbour be postponed, and that Mr. Webster submit at next meeting of the Council the probable cost of an additional pair of gates at the harbour so as to form a "lock" there; and that he also make inquiries as to the cost of sand pump on the pier."

Relative to Poulduff, the following resolution was adopted on the motion of Mr. Leacocke, seconded by Mr. Kehoe:-

"If the Department of Agriculture & Technical Instruction, undertake to complete the work at Poulduff Harbour to a total length of 110 feet (17 feet less than originally proposed) on the lines of the existing plan, this Council, taking into consideration the fact that the work to the present gives promise of providing a satisfactory harbour, are prepared to contribute a sum of £150 towards the completion of the extension of the pier to 110 feet in order to place the fishermen of Poulduff in a position to earn a livelihood. We consider that any blocks not required for the extension



of the pier should be utilised for the protection of the back of the seawall already erected."

Navigation Works (Ireland) Bill

on the motion of the chairman, seconded by Mr. Peacocke the following resolution was adopted:-

"We regard the Irish Navigation Works (Ireland) Bill introduced in the House of Commons, by the Attorney General and the Chief Secretary for Ireland, as a highly retrograde measure, calculated to rob County Councils of the limited amount of control over the scheduled Piers and Harbours in their respective areas which was provided for them by the Local Government Act 1898, and to place the expenditure of the ratepayers' money contributed to aid the fishing industry in the absolute control of a Castle Department which past bitter experience has taught us, carries out its work in an extravagant and inefficient manner.

In our opinion, subject to an initial inquiry by the Local Government Board, as to the propriety of the expenditure the control of the ratepayers' money voted for marine purposes should rest with the County Council, and in the event of a Government contribution in aid of the work, in the joint control of the Co. Council, and of the Department of Agriculture & Technical Instruction in Ireland - Fisheries Branch. And we call upon the Irish Parliamentary Party to oppose the passage of this Bill by every means in their power.

Copies of this Resolution to be forwarded Mr. John E. Redmond, M. P., Sir Thomas Esmonde, Bart., M. P., Mr. Peter French, M. P., Mr. J. W. Russell, M. P. Vice President, Department of Agriculture & Technical Instruction for Ireland; the Chief Secretary for Ireland, the Attorney General for Ireland; the County Councils, and the Irish Members of Parliament."

Duncannon & Arthurstown Harbours

with reference to resolution adopted by the Co. Council, directing Mr. Elgee, Solicitor to obtain Council's opinion relative to the County Council's rights re Duncannon and Arthurstown Harbours. Mr. Elgee now submitted opinion



of Mr. J. J. Moloney K. C. :-

Counsel's Opinion - Arthurstown & Duncannon Harbours.

The result in my opinion is that the County Council can provide two-thirds of the expense of deepening the river alongside the quay, but they are not authorized under the Statute to place the entire expense on the County. I have hitherto considered the question apart from the rights of the Waterford Harbour Commissioners, and the question arises as to whether the Commissioners have under their special acts any power to prevent the County Council from exercising the statutory powers conferred on the County Council by the Grand Jury Acts 1836 & 1853; the Local Government (Ireland) Act 1898; and the Adaptation of Irish Enactments Order. The Waterford Harbour Commissioners were incorporated under the 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> Vic. c 292. Section 52 defines the limits of the harbour and it appears that the river both at Duncannon and Arthurstown are within such limits. Under Section 53 the Commissioners were authorized to maintain and preserve, and from time to time to deepen cleanse and otherwise improve the said Port, and by Section 29 of the Waterford and New Ross Harbour Act 1874 it is provided that the term "Improvement of the Port" in the Act 9 & 10 Vic c 292 shall include amongst other "the dredging protecting and otherwise deepening or improving the channels or banks for the time being". The Act of 1846 contains special provisions as to the levying of rates, and Section 59 provides that the whole of the said rates shall be applied to the maintenance and improvement of the Port in such manner as the Commissioners may appoint and determine. It is clear that the Harbour Commissioners must devote the whole of the rates to the maintenance and improvement of the Port, and the improvement of the Port includes dredging. Consequently the Commissioners are authorized and empowered by statute to dredge the piers of Arthurstown and Duncannon. The effect, however, of the Commissioners having power to do the dredging is not sufficient to prevent the County Council from exercising their statutory power, and the 31<sup>st</sup> section



of the Waterford Harbour Act, 1846 specially provides that nothing in the said Act shall extend or be construed to extend to prejudice or derogate from any rights, interests, privileges or authority of (inter alia) any body politic or corporate.

The result is (1) that the County Council may deepen the river but cannot contribute for that purpose more than  $\frac{2}{3}$ rd of the expense, (2) in the exercise of such powers the Waterford Harbour Commissioners cannot restrain them, (3) the Waterford Harbour Commissioners may themselves dredge the river, but as they have a discretion under Section 69 as to the mode in which they are to apply their income for the improvement of the Harbour of Waterford they cannot be compelled to expend the money in any particular work. The entire expense of the maintenance of the piers and quays must be borne by the County at large as being a public work.

Nothing further occurs to me.

Thomas J. Maloney.

65 Fitzwilliam Place.

Dublin. 23<sup>rd</sup> June 1907.

Under date 23<sup>rd</sup> June, Mr. Maloney wrote:-

"I have been thinking as to what is the best thing for the County Council to do in reference to the unfinished dredging operations. It is impossible to leave dredging half done, where it may be a source of danger. On the other hand the County Council cannot legally pay more than two-thirds of the cost. The Harbour Commissioners may pay the entire cost. The best way out of the difficulty, I think, would be for an arrangement to be come to with the Harbour Commissioners to complete the dredging opposite the pier with their dredger, and for the Co. Council to pay them a proportion of the cost not exceeding two-thirds. This would be a legal arrangement for both parties to come to. A limit should of course be placed on the expenditure, as it would not do to give the Harbour Commissioners a free hand as to expenditure."

On the motion of the Chairman the following resolution was adopted:- "That our Secretary communicate



with the Waterford Harbour Commissioners, and ask them if they are prepared to send their own dredger to complete the dredging at Arthurstown, the Wexford County Council to pay two-thirds of the cost, and the total cost not to exceed £150. That the reply of the Waterford Harbour Commissioners be brought before the Finance Committee at their next meeting, and we hereby authorise the Finance Committee to take the necessary steps to carry out the resolution of the Council.

### Road Contracts.

Under date 12<sup>th</sup> June 1907, the following letter (No. 24274) was read from the Local Government Board:-

"The Local Government Board for Ireland, have had before them your letter of the 7<sup>th</sup> instant, also the minutes of Proceedings of the Wexford County Council of the 7<sup>th</sup> ultimo and the 19<sup>th</sup> April last, relative to the proposals to make extra payments to James Bolger and Joseph Cullen, Road Contractors, in the Gorey and Enniscorthy Rural Districts, respectively, on account of errors in the specifications of their contracts.

In this connection, I am directed to state that the Board have recently taken legal advice, generally on the subject of payments to contractors, and particularly as to whether the "price per perch" or the "lump sum" named in a tender, forms the basis of the contract, and they are of opinion that, having regard to the terms of Article 17 (1) (a) of the Local Government (Procedure of Councils) Order, 1899, as amended, (which order has the force and effect of an Act of Parliament) the lump sum named in the tender for the performance of the work is the sum that governs the payment which is to be made under a road maintenance contract, whatever the number of perches comprised in the contract may be in fact, and whether the number of perches was stated at all or was incorrectly stated in the specification or tender, and whether or not the price per perch was mentioned in the tender.

In the circumstances the Board are unable to approve of the extra payments referred to, and they consider that



the Council, who must act on their own responsibility in the matter, should be guided by their legal advisers as to the action they may take.

I am to add that it is open to the Council, if they see fit, to cancel the contracts in question with a view to having the works tendered for afresh on the amended specifications."

"On the motion of the Chairman the following resolution was adopted:— "That a copy of the letter of the Local Government Board be furnished Messrs James Bolger, and Joseph Bullen, Road Contractors, and that they be informed that as the Local Government Board have refused to sanction the extra payments in their cases the County Council cannot, in view of the possibility of a surcharge by the Local Government Auditor, see their way to have extra payments made."

#### Quarry at Ballyfad.

With reference to the resolution of the Co. Council asking that the Commissioners of Woods & Forests should allow road contractors to work a quarry at Ballyfad Wood, <sup>Coolyranney</sup> ~~Samolin~~, the following letter was read from the Secretary of the Quit Rent Office, 3 Lower Ormond Quay, Dublin, under date 28<sup>th</sup> May:—

"With reference to your letters of the 8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, and 24<sup>th</sup> instant, herein I beg to state that there are two modern townlands of Gorteen, - namely - Upper and Lower, both of which were sold under the Land Purchase Acts in the matter of the estate of Sir G. F. Brooke, Record No. E.C. 98; the Commissioners of Woods have no interest therein, and it would seem that your application should be addressed to the Secretary to the Estate Commissioners, Merrion Street, Dublin."

The Secretary stated he had ascertained that the Estate Commissioners had sold the wood in question to the Department of Agriculture & Technical Instruction, and he had written the Secretary of the Department asking if they would agree to the request of the County Council in the matter.

Under date 7<sup>th</sup> June the Department of Agriculture & Technical Instruction, wrote (Letter No. 15162-07) as follows:—



"adverting to your letter of the 29<sup>th</sup> ultimo, I have to state that the Department are not prepared to allow contractors to obtain road material from the quarry situated on the Department's property at Ballyfad.

The opening of this quarry would interfere with the proper utilisation of the woods for the Department's purposes. It is understood that the Arklow quarries are within convenient distance for road contractors in the North of County Wexford."

"On the motion of the Chairman it was decided that a copy of the letter of the Department of Agriculture and Technical Instruction be forwarded Mr. John Sinnott, Co. Councillor."

Gorey Gaelic League & use of Courthouse.

In connection with a resolution of the Co. Council passed at meeting of 7<sup>th</sup> May, and requesting the High Sheriff (Mr. J. E. Stannard J. P. Bricketstown Loughmore) to allow the Gorey Branch of the Gaelic League the use of a room in the Gorey Courthouse, for the purpose of their classes the following letter was read from the High Sheriff under date 7<sup>th</sup> June 07.

In answer to yours of 6<sup>th</sup> June, I beg to state that having written to the Chief Secretary, Dublin Castle re Courthouse, the answer came as follows. -

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 9<sup>th</sup> inst., and the enclosed communication from the Wexford Co. Council, now returned, I am directed by the Lord Lieutenant to inform you that the use of the Courthouse, as between the High Sheriff and the County Council is regulated by section 72 (3) of the Local Government (Ireland) Act 1898; the Statute does not appear to contemplate any use outside that for the purposes indicated therein."

On the motion of Mr. Hore, seconded by Mr. Peacocke the following resolution was adopted:-

"That in connection with the use of the Courthouses we desire to point out to the Chief Secretary, for Ireland, that as County Councils are compelled to pay the rent of Courthouses, and the salaries of Court-keepers, we consider that where Courthouses are



required for any purpose having for its object the good of Ireland, the High Sheriff should be empowered to accede to a request by a County Council for the utilization of a courthouse, so long as said utilization did not in any way interfere with the administration of justice or the business of county or District Councils.

#### New Ross Waterworks.

Under date 17<sup>th</sup> May, Messrs Bolger & Gethin, Solicitors to New Ross Urban District Council, forwarded notice that this Council, in pursuance of powers obtained for the construction of waterworks in New Ross, intended to enter upon the public roads situate at Ballyleigh, Ballybanogue, Ballyanne, Macmurrrough's Island, Macmurrroughs, Bawnmore, Healy Island, Barretts Park, and Castle Moyle.

"The Secretary said he had furnished copy of notice in question to Mr. Webster, County Surveyor, immediately on its receipt."

"The County Surveyor explained that the work in question was being carried out under his supervision."

#### Fethard Harbour.

The County Surveyor explained the plan submitted by the Engineer of the Department of Agriculture & Technical Instruction, for the improvement of Fethard Harbour at a cost not exceeding £4,000. The plan would provide for a navigable channel, but made no provision for a landing place. It would provide a harbour of refuge but nothing in the nature of a pier, and the up-keep would be very expensive.

On the motion of the Chairman the following resolution was adopted:-

"That in view of the introduction of the Navigation Works (Ireland) Bill, in the House of Commons, we are obliged to postpone the consideration of the plan for improvement of Fethard Harbour."

#### Financial Relations Question

Standing Orders having been suspended on the motion of Mr. Donohoe, seconded by Mr. Murphy; the following resolution was moved by Mr. Donohoe, seconded by Mr. Murphy:-



"The proposed Irish Council Bill having met with the fate it deserved of unanimous Irish contempt and rejection, and regarding it as representing English liberal opinion of the best means of associating the people of Ireland, with the public business of Ireland, we are forced to the conclusion that English Statesmen have no intention of doing justice to the national ideals of the people, and that consequently a home policy calculated to evoke a united demand from all Ireland, of financial justice is one of the best means of saving the country from the ruin threatened by the continual exodus of the people, and the most likely means of attaining National autonomy. We therefore call on the Chairman of the Irish Party to inaugurate a movement having for its object the uniting of all Ireland, without reference to Creed, Politics, or Social Standing, to press forward with vigour and continuity the unanimous demand of Ireland for financial justice, and restitution of some, at least, of the overtaxation account due to Ireland, and thereby depriving England of any excuse for further financial plunder, the advantage of which, to her, has been the real bond of the so called Union."

"Mr. Hore opposed the resolution as he believed it would be construed as an attack on the Irish Nationalist Party, an attack to which Mr. Donohoe would not lend himself."

The resolution was adopted. Mr. Hore having left.

Mr. Donohoe then moved: - "And that copies of this resolution be forwarded Mr. John E. Redmond, M.P., Sir Thomas Esmonde Bart M.P., Mr. Peter French, M.P., and the County Councils of Ireland."

Mr. Murphy seconded.

Mr. Cummins as an amendment moved, and Mr. Doyle seconded: - "That copies of this resolution be forwarded all Irish members of Parliament."

A show of hands was taken with the result that only the proposer and seconder of the amendment voted for it.

The Chairman then put the resolution, which was declared carried.



Change of date for closing Rate Collection

M<sup>r</sup>. Cummins moved the following motion, of which he had given previous notice:-

"That the period for closing the Rate Collection of the County be altered from 31<sup>st</sup> March to 30<sup>th</sup> April, and from 30<sup>th</sup> September to 31<sup>st</sup> October."

M<sup>r</sup>. Doyle seconded.

Passed.

Chairman:- Of course this is subject to the sanction of the Local Government Board.

Injury to a Gullet.

"In reference to correspondence between the Co. Surveyor and Messrs Davis Bros., Enniscorthy, relative to injury to a gullet by a traction engine the property of Messrs Davis, it was decided on the motion of M<sup>r</sup>. Leacocke, seconded by the Chairman, that the matter be submitted to the District Council concerned for their consideration."

Food & Drugs Act.

On the motion of the Chairman the following recommendation of the Finance Committee, was adopted:-

"That as we find, (owing to the uncertainty of the analysis) several unnecessary prosecutions have taken place under the Food & Drugs Act in connection with samples of butter and milk, we hereby recommend to the Inspectors under that Act, that in cases in which they have not a reasonable suspicion of intended fraud or culpable neglect, they should call the attention of the seller to an adverse report of the analyst, and if a fair explanation is given by the seller, they should take a second sample for analysis before issuing a summons. This course has been recommended to the Local authorities, in England and Scotland, by the English Department of Agriculture, and the adoption of it here would tend to prevent unnecessary and vexatious prosecutions without impairing in anyway the public utility of the Food & Drugs Act."

Analyst's Report.

City Laboratory.

17 Castle St. Dublin.

14<sup>th</sup> May 1907.



Report of Sir Charles Cameron, C.B. M.D., Public Analyst, for the County Wexford, on articles submitted to him for Analysis during the quarter ended 31<sup>st</sup> March 1907.

11 Articles were received from the Food Inspectors R.I.C. as follows...

<u>Article</u>	<u>Number</u>
Whiskey	7
Milk	2
Butter	2
Total	<u>11</u>

Certificate sent to Sergeant Groves, Enniscorthy, for specimen of milk adulterated with at least 25 per cent of water.

There were six specimens of butter and one of margarine analysed by directions from the Department of Agriculture & Technical Instruction for Ireland, Upper Merion Street, which were taken up in the County Wexford. They were pure.

For the Guardians of New Ross Union four drugs and one specimen of water were analysed. The drugs were correct. The specimen of water had the following composition:

One imperial gallon contained in grains.  
Total solid matters 13.160

Including

Albuminoid ammonia	0.017
Saline ammonia	0.007
Nitric acid	0.790
Chlorine	2.286
Sulphuric acid	2.1618
Equal to calcium sulphate	3.0000

A slightly inferior water.

For the Guardians of Gorey Union fifteen drugs were analysed. They were correct.

For the Guardians of Enniscorthy Union, nine drugs, nineteen specimens of tea, and ten of port wine were analysed.

One of the drugs, namely, compound mixture of Senna was high in gravity and crystallized magnesium sulphate.

The teas and port wine were analysed to determine which were best for use in the workhouse.



There were six fertilizers and two specimens of feeding stuff analysed for the County Committee of Agriculture and were up to guarantee.

One specimen of oxide of iron and one of ammonium sulphide were analysed for Town Clerk, Wexford.

One fertilizer was analysed for Rev W. Dodd St Peter's College, Wexford.

For Shillelagh Union, which is partly situated in the County Wexford, three drugs were analysed, they were correct.

Total analyses . . . 90.

G. S. Cameron.

Some items on the agenda paper were deferred owing to the lateness of the hour.

*W. J. Keenan*

7 August 1907