

Quarterly Meeting 6th May 1902.

The Statutable Quarterly Meeting of the Wexford County Council was held in the Council Chamber Court House, Wexford on May 6th 1902.

Present:- In the Chair- Mr E. Dore, Vice-Chairman.
Other Councillors - Capt Walker, Lord Viscount Stopford, M.L.
Mr J. Redmond, J. Bolger, John Bolger, P. Ryan, D. Dimpsey,
Mr Browne, J. B. Hearn, James Donohoe, William Whitty,
James E. Mayler, D. Power, Mr Hickey, James Sinnott, C. A.
Peacocke, and John J. Walsh.

Capt Pigott Secretary, R. W. Elgee, Solicitor and Mr Webster County Surveyor, were also present.

The Minutes of last meeting were read and signed.

Deal - Scale of Election Expenses.

The Local Government Board wrote letter No 18625:1902 Wexford County under date 29th April stating that the necessary alterations would be made in the sealed duplicate of the Scale of Election Expenses to allow the enforcement of the resolution of the County Council relative to a proposed allowance of £10. to Deputy Returning Officers for duties outside of those specified in Rules 8 to 10 of the County and Rural District Councillors (Ireland) No 2. Election Order 1899.

Marked "Read"

Auditor's Reports

The Local Government Board forwarded copies of the reports of their Auditor on his audit of the accounts of the Wexford Union and Rural District and New Ross Union and Rural District accounts for the half year ended 30th September 1901.

Irrecoverable Rates

The Local Government Board wrote (letters No 21667 and 23122-1902 Wexford County) under date 24th April and 30th April 1902 sanctioning the Recommendations of the County Council in connection with the Irrecoverable Rates of the collectors for the half year ended March 31st 1902.

Gas & Water a/c's.

With reference to the payment of Gas and Water accounts for Gouy, Courthouse, which had not through an error of a former collector been furnished in time, the Local Government Board under date April 22nd (letter No 21615/1902 Wexford County) wrote that they would consent to extend the time of payment

of these accounts for one year from the date at which the accounts became due.

The Finance Committee had made the following recommendation:-

Proposed by Lord Stopford and seconded by Mr Walsh-

"That cheque be forwarded Mr Brighton Secretary Gorey Gas Co. for the full amount claimed provided the Gorey Gas Co. forward a letter of indemnity to meet any surcharge provided the auditor will not allow the full amount of the account"

Mr Redmond proposed and Mr Donohoe seconded the adoption of the recommendation of the Finance Committee.

Passed.

Expenses - juries (I) Acts

"In connection with claim by Mr P. A. Pope clerk of New Ross Union, for £2: 5: 6 under the juries (Ireland) Acts for 1901 Mr Pope wrote that when he made this claim on the counties of Wexford, Carlow, and Kilkenny, he went on the rule or principle that where a union extends into more than one county the total amount raised over the union is to be apportioned between the divided parts in proportion to the rateable value of each. The County Council of Carlow however referred the point to the Local Government Board and they decided that the amount of the claim should be contributed by the three counties concerned in proportion to the total number of names on each portion of the list."

The Carlow County Council acting on this decision reduced Mr Pope's claim from £3: 17: 8 to £1: 10: 4 and he had consequently to make additional claims on the County Councils of Kilkenny and Wexford. From the account which Mr Pope enclosed it appeared that the amount due by Wexford County was £2: 5: 6.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was laid before the meeting:-

Proposed by Mr Hearn, seconded by Mr Peacocke and passed:-

"That we recommend the County Council to pay Mr P. A. Pope a sum of £2: 5: 6 under the juries (Ireland) Acts as it appears from the letter of the Local Government Board that the claim of Mr Pope under these Acts should have been based on the number of names for the counties of Carlow, Wexford, and Kilkenny, and not on rateable

valuation."

On the motion of Mr Dempsey seconded by Mr Ryan the recommendation of the Finance Committee was confirmed. *nem. con.*

Refund Diseases (A) Acts

The Department of Agriculture wrote under date 14th April 1902 that £82 : 17 : 6 half the amount paid under the provisions of the Diseases of Animals Acts 1894. for the half year ended 30th September 1900 by the Wexford County Council had been lodged to the credit of the Council with their Treasurer.

W. & M. Stamps.

In connection with the application of the County Inspector Royal Irish Constabulary as to procuring new verification stamps for the Ex-officio Inspectors of weights & measures, Mr Talbot the County Inspector wrote under date 7th April 1902 wrote that all the punches required to be refaced so as to have new design thereon. He suggested that new punches with required design be supplied and whatever firm supplies them would most likely allow something for steel in old punches. The cutting of new design on each punch would be the principal expenses.

From a return furnished by the County Inspector it appeared that 74 punches required to be replaced.

Proposed by Mr Ryan seconded by Mr Peacocke and passed "that we invite tenders for the verification stamps required by the Constabulary in connection with the weights and measures acts."

Tenders - Rural Districts

The Council considered tenders for works not taken at the Rural District Council meetings:-

Enniscorthy Rural District

Nº 17. To construct a gullet on the road from Dombreck to Moneydurtlow in the latter townland not to exceed £5.

The only tender received was from Hugh Hegarty Moneydurtlow at the amount allowed £5. Accepted.

Wexford Rural District

Nº 18. To repair a culvert near Bullen's Cross on Mr Hickey's contract not to exceed £10. Rural District charge.

There was no tender received and the work was given in charge of the County Surveyor.

resolution granting him permission to encroach a few feet on Robert street New Ross, and to build a retaining wall there.

Change of Security

Robert Busher, Geyrolin, Donnhaggard, wrote that he wished to change one of the securities for a road for which he was contractor. Mr. Michael Murphy, Ballygullick, was the former security, and he desired to substitute for his name that of Mr. Bryan Mayler, Gentsstown.

on the motion of the Chairman it was decided that Mr. Mayler be accepted as surety vice Mr. Murphy and that a new bond be entered into on these conditions.

Mr. J. Malone v.s. Salary

Referring to the Proposal of the Diseases of Animals Acts Committee to pay a fixed annual salary to Mr. James Malone v.s. Gorey, instead of fees as at present, the Department of Agriculture under date 17th April 1902, (letter No. 1492/02.) wrote that the Department, looking to all the facts of the case, and bearing in mind Mr. Malone's length of service and his position as an "existing officer" would be inclined to recommend that the Committee close with Mr. Malone's proposal to accept an inclusive salary of £70 per annum which is only £5. in excess of that already offered by the Committee, and which, as one half of any approved rate of payment will be claimable from this Department, would consequently not involve the County in a net additional charge of more than £2 : 10 : 0 per annum."

Continuing the Department wrote that the views expressed by the Committee as to cases in which it was considered that Mr. Malone's services had been requisitioned unnecessarily have had attention and an extract from the observations of the Chief Inspector of the Veterinary Branch of the Department bearing on the list of cases of this kind embodied in the minutes of the Committee referred to.

Copy of letter from Mr. James Malone to the Department of Agriculture under date March 1902 was also read.

Mr. Malone pointed out that he had agreed to accept £70 per annum as salary in lieu of fees, and in doing so he had only asked to be placed on the same

footing as his professional brethren in the unions of Wexford and Enniscorthy. The average annual fees received by him for the last five years was about £100. He pointed out that the heavy work and the large mileage of the district entitled him to a remuneration of at least £70. His present salary of £10 for inspection of fairs would be included in his salary, and in as much as there was only £5 difference between himself and the county council though personally he would not consider the sum worth fighting for, yet he believed the heavy work done by him his large average and the great extent of his district fairly entitled him to the utmost consideration, which not only the county council but the Department could give him."

at the meeting of the Finance Committee the following recommendation was adopted on the motion of Mr. Kearne, seconded by Mr. Ryan. "That we recommend the council to agree to give Mr. James Malone B.S. Gorey, a total salary of £70 per year instead of fees."

Lord Stopford proposed the confirmation of the recommendation of the Finance Committee which was seconded by Mr. Redmond and passed."

Strike the Rate.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was confirmed on the proposal of Mr. Peacocke seconded by Mr. J. Bolger:-

That we hereby recommend the council to strike the rate for the financial year ending March 31st 1903 for general and separate charges; and that we seal and sign the Rate Books and Warrants of the Rate Collectors.

In connection with a former resolution of the council with reference to re-opening the case of the county council against the Local Government Board, Mr. Elgue solicitor to the council submitted the opinion he had received on the matter from Mr. J. M. Healy K.E. Mr. Peacocke proposed, Mr. J. Bolger seconded and it was passed:- "That the council go into Committee for the purpose of considering the opinion of Mr. Healy."

Mr Donohoe proposed:- "That the opinion of Mr Healy K. C. be published. Mr J. Bolger seconded. - passed -

Central Pathological Laboratory - Contribution to.

Proposed by Mr Donohoe, seconded by Mr Dempsey, and passed. -

"That we agree to the Committee of Management of Enniscorthy Lunatic Asylum contributing a sum not exceeding £25 per annum towards the establishment of a central pathological Laboratory for Ireland in the interests of the insane"

Remuneration, - acting Secy.

Mr Frizelle Assistant Secretary, applied for some remuneration for the discharge of the duties of the Secretary during the fortnight's illness of the latter.

at the meeting of the Finance Committee, Lord Stopford proposed:- "That we recommend the Council to pay the Assistant Secretary a sum of £4. for the discharge of the duties of the Secretary during the latter's two weeks illness; this payment to be subject to the sanction of the Local Government Board."

Mr Dempsey proposed the confirmation of the recommendation of the Finance Committee. Mr J. Bolger seconded. - Passed.

Proposal for Payments etc

Proposed by Mr J. J. Walsh seconded by Mr Redmond and passed:- "That the several proposals for new works sent up by the proposals Committee as regards expenditure chargeable to the County at Large be approved subject to the modification and other orders noted thereon and initialed by the Chairman"

Proposed by Mr J. J. Walsh, seconded by Mr M. J. Redmond and passed:- "That the several proposals for payments sent up by the Proposals Committee as regards expenditure chargeable to the County at Large be approved subject to the modifications and other orders noted thereon and initialed by the Chairman."

Proposed by Mr J. Bolger, seconded by Mr Dempsey and passed:- "That the several proposals for payment submitted

to this Council by the Rural Districts as set out in Schedules E. F. G. H. be approved subject to the modifications and other orders noted thereon and initialed by the Chairman"

Proposed by Mr Walsh, seconded by Mr Redmond and passed
 "That the several Proposals for new works sent up by the District Councils of Gory and Wexford for the current quarter be approved subject to the modifications and other order noted thereon and initialed by the Chairman"

Mr Peacocke proposed and Mr Bolger seconded:-
 That with reference to the Proposals for new works in Schedule. 6. from the New Ross District Council all the works therein set out, be approved with the exception of No. 5. (Slade Pier) which is rejected as it has not been treated as a Provisional Proposal and as there is no evidence before us that the Department of Agriculture have consented to be responsible for half of the expenditure"
 Passed.

Proposed by Mr Hearn, seconded by Mr Browne:-
 "That the several proposals for new works sent up by the Enniscorthy Rural District Council for this quarter be approved with the exception of No 19 "to widen, and level fences of Boolgarrow lane, about 295 perches, and to have same a contract road" for which Michael Darcy has been accepted contractor at £88: 10: 0 which is to be referred back to the District Council, as the County Council in view of the statements made by Mr Brun who opposes, have not sufficient evidence to warrant them passing it"
 Passed.

Dates of Meetings

The following were the dates fixed for the quarterly meetings of the Rural District Councils of the County and the Proposals Committee.

Wexford	5 th July	at 10. 30. a.m.
Enniscorthy	9 th July	at 11. a.m.
Gory.	10 th July	at 12 o'clock noon
New Ross.	12 th July	at 12 o'clock noon

Proposals Committee. Saturday 19th July at 2 o'clock. p.m.

The following report was submitted by the Kilmore Pier Committee.

Kilmore Pier.

Meeting held on Monday, April 21st 1902.

Supplementary Report of Kilmore Pier Committee.

At a meeting of the Proposals Committee of the Wexford County Council held on Saturday April 19th 1902, a communication from the Department of Agriculture covering a report of Mr Bindon Stoney, C. E. on Kilmore Harbour and the proposed works for the improvement thereof came up for consideration.

Mr Bindon Stoney's report having been read, it became evident:-

First:- That he fully agrees in every detail with the views put forward by the County Council deputation which waited upon Mr Horace Plunkett, Vice-President of the Department of Agriculture in August 1901 as to the causes operating to produce an excessive siltage of sand in Kilmore Harbour.

Second. That he approves unreservedly of the proposal of the deputation to check this excessive siltage and reduce it within the smallest possible dimensions by the construction of a breakwater closing the eastern side of the harbour as shown on a plan submitted with the proposal.

Third:- That he also approves of the direction of the proposed breakwater as indicated on the plan.

Fourth:- That he disapproves of the method of construction proposed, and instead of the rough stone breakwater suggested by the County Council deputation as economical and effective he recommends the construction of a cement masonry breakwater which he estimates would cost about £5000.

The Proposals Committee being distinctly disinclined to seriously consider the expenditure of such a large sum upon Kilmore Harbour decided to refer the entire question back to the Kilmore Pier Committee for a further report and the matter being urgent and time of importance, it was decided that this Committee should meet on the following Monday (April 21st) at 10. a.m.

In accordance with the arrangement recorded in the foregoing memorandum of proceedings of the Proposals Committee of the Wexford County Council, we the undersigned members of the Kilmore Pier Committee accompanied by Mr Henry Webster, M. Inst. C. E. County Surveyor this day visited Kilmore Harbour at 10 a.m. and having made an exhaustive and careful survey of the harbour generally and of the site of the proposed breakwater in particular and having also consulted with the Harbour Master, and with some of the eldest and most experienced fishermen in the locality with regard to the effect of past gales on the Kilmore Harbour, works we have unanimously arrived at the following conclusions.

1st - That Kilmore Harbour in its present condition is utterly unfit to serve the purposes for which it was constructed, the siltage of sand within the harbour being so great that the fishing boats lie aground during the greater portions of each tide and can only enter or leave the harbour at or near high water.

2nd To remedy this state of affairs dredging operations are imperatively necessary as a work of maintenance and can be best carried out by the Board of Works Sucker Dredger No 1. or similar vessel, the probable cost of cleaning the harbour of its present accumulation of 12000 tons of sand being from £150 to £200.

3rd Should these dredging operations be carried out in connection with the construction of the breakwater recommended in our former report it may reasonably be anticipated that no further expenditure on dredging would become necessary for a period of at least 15 or 20 years, whereas should the eastern side of the harbour be permitted to remain open as at present an outlay of £150 to £200 every four or five years may be fairly regarded as necessary to maintain Kilmore Harbour in a tolerably efficient condition.

4th An outlay of £12 was incurred last summer in digging a trench alongside the quay wall without which it would have been impossible for coal laden schooners to reach a discharging berth, but such a spade dug trench fills up again in a month or two and an expenditure of £15 to £20 will probably be needed for a similar purpose during the coming summer. We would point out that

the money thus expended on mere temporary relief would suffice to pay interest on the capital sum needed to make a great and we believe a permanent improvement in the harbour.

5th. With regard to the mode of construction of the breakwater, which is the only point on which Dr Bindon Stoney's report is at variance with the recommendations submitted by our committee, we are unable to undertake the responsibility of advising your Council to expend a sum of £5000, or upwards on this work as suggested by Dr Bindon Stoney, and whilst we have no desire whatever to place our scheme in any sense in competition with that of so eminent an engineer we hold that the enormous difference in cost as between £5000. and £1000. fully justified us now in reiterating our recommendation of the cheaper method of construction suggested in our former report. viz:- a rough stone breakwater with round or whale backed top, the top joints and the extreme outer end to be grouted with cement mortar.

We originally adopted and we adhere to this method of construction because by reason of being built of stone obtainable practically on the spot and furthermore built on a dry beach by unskilled labour it would be extremely economical in addition to which it would also in our opinion be thoroughly effective for the following reasons:-

- (a) The breakwater in its proposed position would not be exposed to broadside wave action being sheltered by the trend of the coast line from such wave action.
- (b) It would be very largely sheltered from heavy seas coming nearly end on by the reef known as St Patrick's Bridge which would form an effective protection at all states of tide except high water springs.
- (c) The concrete breakwater which is proposed to connect with the shore by means of thorough stone breakwater we advocate has a large hole in its outer end caused by the use of defective cement in its construction, it has now been for several years in a thick shabby condition and yet stands although any heavy sea would undoubtedly level it.
- (d) That the old fisherman's pier at Kilmore consists

of a rough stone breakwater identical in mode of construction with the proposed new breakwater and that for 100 years it has withstood with very slight damage all gales from the point which would affect the new breakwater.

(c) Furthermore that this old fisherman's pier existed 50 or 60 years prior to the construction of the present main pier of Kilmore which was built in 1850, that the rough stone fisherman's pier had then to withstand heavy gales from the west-south-west the only point from which really big seas roll in upon Kilmore and that we have the evidence of Mr James Devereux an old and experienced man formerly master of the Lightship tender that the rough stone Pier stood the ordeal well.

(f) The new breakwater would be perfectly sheltered by the main Pier from west-south-west gales.

(g) We have consulted our County Surveyor, Mr Henry Webster, M Inst. C. E. on this subject. He without hesitation approved of our plan of a rough stone breakwater, regarding the permanence of which he expresses no fear.

He states that all marine works no matter how constructed, are liable to occasional damage but points out that the cost of any small occasional repairs in the rough stone breakwater, which would be carried out on the "stitch in time" principle by unskilled labour under the direction of the Harbour Master who exercises a constant supervision over the state of the Piers would be a trifling expenditure as compared with the interest on £4000 the estimated difference in cost and Mr Webster further anticipates that in practice the cost of annual repairs to cement masonry breakwater might very possibly entail the cost of annual repair to rough stone breakwater by reason of the former construction requiring skilled labour to remedy the smallest defect.

(h) We are further fortified in our opinions by the fact that the scheme already submitted to you has received the unanimous approval of the seafaring population of Kilmore who are well qualified to judge its merits and demerits.

Having carefully reconsidered the entire question in the light of Dr Bindon Stoney's report and of our further inspection of Kilmore Harbour, we are unanimously of opinion that we cannot alter or improve upon the

scheme submitted in our former report which we contend would if adopted by your Council ensure the repair and maintenance of Kilmore Harbour in a state of practical efficiency in return for a very moderate outlay.

We desire in conclusion to urge that prompt action should be taken in the interests of the fishing industry which undoubtedly has been seriously hampered in recent years by the condition of Kilmore Harbour.

(Signed) Michael Browne
James E. Mayker
J. D. Walsh
J. J. Walker
M. A. Ennis

The following letter on this question was read from the Secretary, Department of Agriculture, Dublin, under date 5th May and numbered 1431/02. (Fisheries) :-

"Referring to previous correspondence on the subject of the proposed works for the improvement of Kilmore Pier I am directed by the Vice-President to state, for the information of the Wexford County Council, that the Department have considered the views put before them in the report of the Kilmore Pier Committee and by the deputation which waited on me on the 25th ulto.

They would point out that the estimate of £5,000 mentioned by the Marine Engineer who made the special survey on this behalf was not in reference to the cost of the whole work including the excavation of the harbour. The Department are advised that even for the work of construction and dredging contemplated by the Committee the sum named by the Committee is inadequate. They are further advised that the use of dry stonework for the construction of breakwaters would be hazardous in the extreme.

In these circumstances the Department would be prepared to contribute one third of the cost of a complete scheme on the lines suggested in Dr Bindon Stoney's report, their total contribution in any case not to exceed £1,500 and the maintenance of the

harbour and of the piers to be entirely undertaken by the county council.

With reference to the scheme for constructing a dry stone breakwater should the county council still desire to press this alternative, the Department could only contribute to the scheme on the basis of a tender from a solvent contractor for the execution of the whole work including the dredging of the harbour.

In the event of such a tender being forthcoming, and the county council being in a position to assume all responsibility for the maintenance of the harbour and breakwaters as a county work, the Department would be prepared to contribute to the cost of the scheme a sum not exceeding £500.

I am,

Sir,

your obedient servant

(Signed) J. P. Gill

Secretary.

Mr. Browne moved the following motion of which he had given notice - "That a sum of £500 be allocated for the repair and maintenance of Kilmore Pier and Harbour to be a county at-Large charge" - Mr. Walsh seconded.

Mr. Donohoe objected to the notice as informal. By Standing Orders No 2. notice of motion by members should be forwarded to the council seven days before the meeting.

The Assistant Secretary said that the standing orders in question had never been carried out. The usual practice had been to set out fully on the agenda paper the notices of motion as otherwise there would be double postage for each meeting.

Mr. Donohoe said that he complained that the notice of motion by Mr. Browne was a day late.

Mr. Browne moved the suspension of the standing orders.

Mr. Walsh seconded - Passed.

Mr. Harne moved: "That the report of the Piers Committee be adopted and that the area of charge be Bargo Barony for that portion of the cost that falls upon the county to provide."

Mr. J. Bolger proposed: - "That the matter be postponed till

next meeting in consequence of the small number present
Mr Sinnott seconded

Mr Hearn withdrew his proposition in favour of Mr Bolger's amendment

A Poll was then taken on Mr Bolger's amendment with the following result.

For Messrs J. Bolger, Hearn, Donohoe, Sinnott & Whitty 5
Against Messrs Ryan, Mayler, Walsh, Peacocke, Walker, Browne, Hickey, and the Chairman - 8.

Declined voting Messrs Dempsey and Power.

Mr Hearn then moved and Mr Bolger seconded
"That the report of the Piers Committee be adopted and that the area of charge be Bargo Barony for that portion of the cost which falls upon the County" - Mr Donohoe seconded.

In favour there voted Messrs J. Bolger, Donohoe, Hearn, Sinnott and Whitty 5

Against - Messrs Ryan, Mayler, Walsh, Peacocke, Walker, Browne, Hickey, Power and the Chairman - 9.

Mr Dempsey did not vote.

Mr Browne then substituted the following for his previous motion: - "That the report of the Piers Committee be adopted, and that the County Council allocate a sum of £500 for the repair and maintenance of Kilmore Pier and Harbour said sum to be a County-at-Large charge" Mr Walsh seconded

A poll was taken with the following result: -

For the motion: Messrs Ryan, Mayler, Walsh, Peacocke, Walker, Browne, Hickey, Power, and the Chairman. 9

Against: Messrs J. Bolger, Donohoe, Hearn, Sinnott and Whitty 5.

Mr Dempsey did not vote

The Chairman declared the motion carried

It was decided that tenders should be considered on June 16th the date of the annual meeting of the Council.

The following resolution was received from the Dublin County Council. "That reaffirming our unanimous resolution of 2nd May 1899, we demand equality of opportunity in University Education for Catholics in Ireland, and call upon the Government to satisfy our just demands. That we urge in our own name and in

the name of the vast majority of Irish Lay Catholics, on the Royal Commission at present inquiring into University Education in Ireland, that the only fair satisfactory, and final settlement of the Catholic claim is to be found in the establishment and endowment of an University for Catholics with an atmosphere as distinctly Catholic as that of Dublin University is now Protestant.

That copies of this Declaration be sent by the Secretary of the Council, to His Excellency the Lord Lieutenant, the Chief Secretary, the Prime Minister, the Chairman of the Irish Parliamentary Party, the Chairman of the Royal Commission on University Education and the various boroughs and county councils in Ireland."

Mr. Walsh proposed and Mr. John Bolger seconded the adoption of the above resolution.

At the suggestion of Mr. Peacocke the words "and others" were inserted after the words "Irish Lay Catholics" and the resolution with this addition was put and passed.

Road diversion at Ballycullane.

Mr. Peacocke proposed and Mr. Walsh seconded:-

"That we request the Irishguard and Rosslare Railway Co. to carry out the necessary alterations for a road diversion at Ballycullane as recommended by the County Surveyor and the New Ross District Council, and that a copy of this resolution be forwarded the Secretary of the Railway Company Paddington Station."

Form 11. (Rural Dist. Orders)

Proposed by Mr. Donohoe, seconded by Mr. Dempsey, and passed. -

"That we direct the Clerk of the Rural District Councils to insert in future in Form 11. (Proposals for new works etc.) the area of chargeability in every case. At present the County Council have nothing to show this except advertisements in the public newspapers, and in some cases the area of charge does not even appear there."

Leave to Encroach on a road

Mr. Whitty moved the following motion of which he had given previous notice:- "That Edward M. Jordan be granted leave to encroach a few inches on the roadway in the village of Oylegate in front of his house."

Capt. Walker seconded the resolution.

The County Surveyor said he understood and was satisfied with

with what Mr Jordan proposed to do.
The resolution was carried unanimously.

The minutes of the Proposals Committee of April 19th and May 6th were considered and confirmed without alteration.

Seal-Deed of Mortgage

Proposed by Mr Donohoe, seconded by Mr Peacocke, and passed, that our corporate seal be affixed to the Deed of Mortgage of this date now read whereby security is given to the Commissioners of Public Works in Ireland for the repayment of the sum of one thousand two hundred pounds proposed to be advanced by them to us under the Local Government (Ireland) Act 1898.

Mr Peacocke proposed a vote of thanks to the Chairman and Vice Chairman for their kindness and urbanity they had shown to the members of the Council during their term of office.

Mr Hearn seconded the resolution which was passed and acknowledged by Mr Hore.

J. H. Hearn

16 June 1902